

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/811,649	11,649 03/29/2004		Dale R. Peterson	265280-74873	2368
23643	7590	11/15/2004		EXAMINER	
BARNES		,_ -	WEBMAN, EDWARD J		
11 SOUTH MERIDIAN INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1617	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	(6) PETGLSON	
Office Action Summary	16/8/1644 Examiner / 64		Group Art Unit	
	WEBM	EBMAN Group Art Unit		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet	beneath the c	orrespondence address	
Period for Reply	_			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3	MONTH(S	S) FROM THE MAILING DATE	
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by defaute a period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statements. 	reply within the statutory mini ult, expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	mum of thirty (30) om the mailing da	days will be considered timely.	
Status	, ,			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on	8/16/04		•	
☐ This action is FINAL.				
 Since this application is in condition for allowance exce accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 19 			the merits is closed in	
Disposition of Claims				
Of the above claim(s)		is/are	pending in the application.	
Of the above claim(s)-	is/are	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
□ Claim(s)		is/are	allowed.	
□ Claim(s) 1 - 5		is/are	rejected.	
□ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)				
Application Papers			ement.	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ing Review, PTO-948.			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is 🗆 approved	☐ disapprove	ed.	
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	ected to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)				
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of received. 	of the priority documents	have been		
 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num received in this national stage application from the In 				
*Certified copies not received:				
Attachment(s)	2/19/04 8/11	1/4-		
	No(e)	// - / Interview Sum	mary, PTO-413	
▼Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449. Paper	IVU(3)		- ·	
Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper				
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-1449.		Notice of Infor	mal Patent Application, PTO-152	

Application/Control Number: 10/811,649

Art Unit: 1617

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brine.

Brine teaches polymers of lactic acid with a molecular weight of 2500-4500 (abstract). Terpolymers with other hydroxyl carboxylic acids such as glycolic acid, e-caprolactone and valerolactone are specified (column 3 lines 28-32).

Pharmaceutically active agents are disclosed. (column 3 line 61). Calcium sulfate is specified (column 5 line 15).

As to the claimed properties, such must be possessed by the anticipatory composition because it is the same as that claimed.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Domb.

Domb teaches a composition comprising a polymer (title) adhesion is specified (column 4 line 66). Biodegradibility is specified (claim 1). Growth factors are disclosed (column 2 line 27). The inherency argument in the first 102 is incorporated herein as directed to Domb.

Application/Control Number: 10/811,649

Art Unit: 1617

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16-17 of copending Application No. 09/923118. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant claims encompass the scope of '118.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

No claims allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Edward J. Webman at telephone number 571-272-0633.

EDWAYOJ, WEEMAN PRIMAN BIXAMERA GAGUP 1500